

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,117	12/15/2003	Lennart J. Brandel	7343-1 3626	
7590 08/30/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHNS MAN	·	SINGH, ARTI R		
Legal Department 10100 West Ute Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Littleton, CO 80127			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Hl			h
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/736,117	BRANDEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summ	iry	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ms. Arti Singh	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this concerning the Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI  - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of  - If the period for reply specified above is less the  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perio Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 this communication. In thirty (30) days, a repl sximum statutory period of for reply will, by statute months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this come a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	-	 action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in co	· —		atters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the		·	,	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending	in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-</u>				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte				
8) Claim(s) are subject to		r election requirement.		ė
Application Papers				•
· · · <u> </u>	o by the Evenine	_		
<ul><li>9) The specification is objected t</li><li>10) The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>		epted or b)  objected	to by the Eveminer	
Applicant may not request that a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	
·	•	• , ,	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1 121/4)
11) The oath or declaration is obje	_	•		, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor	=	priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the		s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the	•		Application No.	
	•		en received in this National St	tage
application from the Int				9-
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(e)				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) 🗖 Intonés	w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview (PTO-948)		w Summary (P10-413) No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Ad	etion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 082105

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-11, drawn to woven textile, classified in class 442, subclass 181+.
- II. Claims 12-19, drawn to the method of making the woven textile, classified in class 139 in various subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the fabric could be woven using a Cam or Dobby loom instead of Jacquard one.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Bob Touslee on 08/17/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group 1, claims 1-11.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

  Claims 12-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1771

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 6291011 B1 issued to Edlund.
- 8. USPN 6291011 B1 issued to Edlund teaches a glass fiber wall covering coated with hydrophilic and hydrophobic agents (abstract). The composite of Edlund may be woven of glass fibers (column 2, line 34). Preferred yarns include, for the warp direction continuous c-glass or e-glass of 9-10 microns, 139-142 texturized with approximately 315-340 ends per meter. An alternative warp yarn is continuous c-glass or e-glass of 6-9 micron, 34-68 tex with 680 ends per meter. For the weft direction, a preferred glass is discontinuous spun e-glass or c-glass, 8-11 micron, 165-550 tex with about 170-600 ends per meter. An alternative weft yarn includes continuous volumized e-glass or c-glass of 8-11 micron, 165-550 tex with about 170-600 ends per meter. Relatively flat woven surfaces are preferred, with minimal Relief (pattern) (column 2, line 65-column 3, line 10). As shown in Table 1 and column 3, the glass fabric is impregnated with a starch and polymeric binder.
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1771

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 9. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6759116 B2 issued to Edlund.
- 10. USPN 6759116 B2 issued to Edlund discloses a glass fabric is a woven product that incorporates fiberglass yarn. The weave is typically a simple weave pattern of up to eight shafts. The weave can be produced, for example, on Dornier weaving machines, Rapiers or Air-Jets, in typically two or three meter widths for collecting on roll beams of typically 1,500 to 6,000 meters in length of untreated woven fiberglass fabric. Many fiberglass yarns may be selected for use when producing the woven materials for use in the present invention.

  Preferred yarns include, for the warp direction are continuous C-glass or E-glass of 9 to 10 microns, and 139 to 142 tex with approximately 315 to 340 ends per meter. An alternative warp yarn is formed from continuous C-glass or E-glass of 6 to 9 microns, 34 to 68 tex with approximately 680 ends per meter. For the weft direction, a preferred glass is discontinuous spun E-glass or C-glass, 8 to 11 microns, and 165 to 550 tex with approximately 170 to 600 ends per meter. An alternative weft yarn includes continuous volumized E-glass or C-glass of 8 to 11 microns and 165 to 550 tex with approximately 170 to 600 ends per meter (Column 2,

Art Unit: 1771

lines 35-24). Said composite may be impregnated with a starch +polymeric binder (column 3 and Table 1).

## **Double Patenting**

11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No's. 09/996454 (claims 21-22), 10/348725 (claims 1-5), 10/460287 (claims 1-12) and 10/736119 (claims 1-10). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the all appear to be obvious variants of one another. All the cited references along with the instant patent require woven glass fibers having the same range of tex in both directions of the weave and optionally may be impregnated with a starch and polymeric binder.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ms. Arti Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Ars 08/21/05